

Amirkabir Journal of Mechanical Engineering

Amirkabir J. Mech. Eng., 53(11) (2022) 1353-1356 DOI: 10.22060/mej.2021.19314.7000

Removal of Lead Heavy Metal from Wastewater by Crystallization Process and Investigation of the Effective Parameters

P. Khalati, M. Tahmasebpoor *, S. J. Peighambardoust*

Department of Chemical & Petroleum Engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

ABSTRACT: The increase in population and industrialization of societies have caused the production of large amounts of inorganic, organic, and biological pollutants. Lead is one of the heavy metals having high and long-term toxicity even at low concentrations which limits the reusability and recyclability of industrial wastewaters so it must be removed. In this study, the removal and recovery of Pb from synthetic wastewater by a new form of precipitation method named crystallization process in a batch system was investigated. This process has gained increasing attention in recent years because of accessibility, low cost, high efficiency, and no need to recover the used materials. The efficacy of removal was dependent on the factors such as pH, initial lead concentration, carbonate: lead molar ratio, and amount of seed crystals. The results of the experiments performed in this study showed that when the pH=8, the initial concentration of lead is 100 mg/L, the molar ratio of carbonate to lead is 3:1 and the amount of seed particles is 0.25 g dissolved in 100 ml, the lead removal efficiency is obtained as 99 %. The present study demonstrates that Pb can be successfully removed and recovered as PbCO3 crystals in a batch reactor.

Review History:

Received: Nov. 27, 2020 Revised: Feb. 06, 2021 Accepted: May, 13, 2021 Available Online: May, 27, 2021

Keywords:

Water treatment Heavy metals Pb removal Crystallization process Parametric study

1-Introduction

Lead (Pb) is a heavy metal widely spread throughout the environment. Wastewaters containing lead are discharged by many anthropogenic activities, such as driving, the metallurgical industry, electroplating, and metal finishing [1]. This contaminant can affect almost every organ in the body, accumulating over time [2]. A wide range of treatment processes for the removal and recovery of heavy metals from wastewaters have been developed over the years. Conventional methods, such as precipitation, electrolysis, ion exchange, and adsorption have been used in the past decades [3]. Among them, precipitation is the most commonly used process. However, the cost for the subsequent treatment of the precipitates or sludge is normally high [4]. Thus, new concepts for minimizing the discrete precipitates in the solution have to be developed and applied in the operation. This new method is crystallization. Production of relatively large crystals may be realized by means of seeded crystallization, where the seed not only induces and promotes crystallization but also acts as a carried of the precipitate to be recovered [5]. This procedure can be done in a batch or continuous system. There are a number of situations where batch operation is chosen instead of the continuous system; mainly because of the advantages such as being in access, low cost, high efficiency, and no

need to recover the used materials. Important factors on the lead removal by the crystallization process are pH, lead concentration, carbonate to lead molar ratio, and amount of seed [5]. This study explored the applicability of the crystallization process in a batch reactor on the removal and recovery of lead from synthetic wastewater using carbonate precipitation agent and sands as seed crystals.

2- Methodology

The synthetic lead wastewater was prepared by dissolving lead nitrate (Pb(NO3)2, Merck, Germany) as a stock solution with a specific concentration (500 mg/L). Sodium carbonate (Na2CO3, Merck, Germany) used as the precipitant (0.008M) was also dissolved in another stock solution whose pH was conditioned by sodium hydroxide (NaOH, Merck, Germany) and nitric acid (HNO3, 70%, Merck, Germany). Synthetic wastewater and precipitant solutions for the experimental runs were also prepared using deionized water. The pH levels were supplied by a pH meter (pH /mV/Temperature Bench Meter, Romania). All the experiments were carried out in the batch beaker at a laboratory temperature of 20-25 oC. 100 mL of lead solution with a specific concentration containing a specific amount of sand were dosed into the beaker. While the mentioned mixture was being agitated by a mechanical stirrer at 250-300 rpm, 0.008 M Na2CO3 solution with different carbonate

*Corresponding author's email: tahmasebpoor@tabrizu.ac.ir



Copyrights for this article are retained by the author(s) with publishing rights granted to Amirkabir University Press. The content of this article is subject to the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC 4.0) License. For more information, please visit https://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode.



Fig. 1. Effect of pH on lead removal efficiency at [Pb^{2+]}= 100 mg/L, [CO32-]: [Pb²⁺]=3:1 and 0.25 g sand

to lead molar ratio was added to the beaker. Each experiment lasted for 6 h, after which the solution was discarded from the filter (Ø 125mm) and tested for determination of Pb using an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS). The removal efficiency of the reactor (η) is expressed by Eq. (1).

$$\eta = \frac{Cpb, 0 - Cpb, t}{Cpb, 0} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

Where CPb,0 is initial lead concentration and CPb,t is the lead concentration that was determined by AAS.

3- Results and Discussion

3-1-Effect of pH

The setup was operated at varying pHs from 6 to 10 to observe its effect on the Pb removal efficiency from the synthetic wastewater and also to determine the optimum pH of the operation. As displayed in Fig. 1, the highest lead removal efficiency at pH 8 was obtained > 99%, while initial Pb2+ concentration of 100 mg/L, the molar ratio of carbonate to lead 3:1 and 0.25 g sands. On the other hand, theoretical calculations performed by Patterson et al. [6] showed that the minimum lead concentration in lead-carbonate precipitation reaches the lowest value at pH ranging from 7.5 to 9.0. Our findings here coincided with these values. It can be concluded that the pH value should be controlled around 8.

3-2-Effect of initial Pb2+ concentration

The setup was operated at varying initial Pb2+ concentration (100 mg/L to 400 mg/L) to observe its effect on the Pb removal efficiency while the other parameters were fixed (pH 8, molar ratio of carbonate to lead :3 to 1, and sand amount 0.25 g). As seen in Fig. 2, the highest lead removal efficiency occurred at 100 mg/L. Decreased with increasing the initial lead concentration.



Fig. 2. Effect of pH on lead removal efficiency at [Pb²⁺]=100 mg/L, [CO32-]: [Pb²⁺]=3:1 and 0.25 g sand



Fig. 3. Effect of sand amount on lead removal efficiency at pH=8, [Pb²⁺] = 100 mg/L and [CO32-]: [Pb²⁺]=3:1

3-3-Effect of sand amount

To illustrate the effect of the sand amount on the lead removal efficiency, the batch reactor was operated at four different amounts of sand: 0.1 g, 0.25 g, 0.5 g, and 1 g. As seen in Fig. 3, by increasing the amount of sands from 0.1g to 0.25g, the effiency of lead removal also increased. This can be explained by larger surface areas available for crystallization of the lead precipitates provided by larger amounts of sands in the reactor. However, further increase in the amount of seed particles leads to a gradual decrease in the percentage of lead removal. This is mightily attributed to the lower growth of crystals in the presence of excessive substrates for the deposition of lead and carbonate ions., This leads to the creation of heterogeneous crystals with very small sizes which pass through the filter and are not considered in the calculation of lead removal efficiency.



pH=8, $[Pb^{2+}] = 100 mg/L and 0.25g sand$

3- 4- Effect of [CO32-]:[Pb2+] molar ratio

The setup was operated at varying carbonate: lead molar ratios (1:1, 2:1, 3:1, and 4:1) to observe the effect on the Pb removal efficiency. The highest lead removal efficiency of >99% was reached at a carbonate: lead molar ratio of 3:1. By reducing the molar ratio of carbonate to lead from the optimal ratio (3 to 1), the percentage of lead removal also decreased, so that when this ratio is 1 to 1, lead carbonate is less likely to precipitate; due to the low volume of carbonate and low ion collision, and heterogeneous crystals are formed, resulting in a significant removal percentage. On the other hand, by increasing the molar ratio of carbonate: lead to 4:1, the percentage of lead removal decreased. It seems that due to the high presence of carbonate ions in the solution, they react with lead ions before the deposition on the sand particles. Therefore, a large part of lead ions is converted into homogeneous crystals which pass through the filter and are

not considered in the calculation of lead removal efficiency.

4- Conclusion

Lead can be removed and recovered successfully as lead carbonate crystals using the seeded batch reactor. Based upon the results of this study, when the pH is in the range between 8 to 9, the initial concentration of lead is 100 mg/L, the molar ratio of carbonate to lead is 3:1 and the amount of seed particles is 0.25 g dissolved in 100 ml, the lead removal efficiency is obtained as 99%.

References

- [1] D. Hou, J. He, C. Lü, L. Ren, Q. Fan, J. Wang, Z. Xie, Distribution characteristics and potential ecological risk assessment of heavy metals (Cu, Pb, Zn, Cd) in water and sediments from Lake Dalinouer, China, Ecotoxicology and environmental safety, 93 (2013) 135-144.
- [2] R.K. Gautam, S.K. Sharma, S. Mahiya, M.C. Chattopadhyaya, Contamination of heavy metals in aquatic media: transport, toxicity and technologies for remediation, (2014).
- [3] F. Fu, Q. Wang, Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewaters: a review, Journal of environmental management, 92(3) (2011) 407-418.
- [4] J.-F. Blais, Z. Djedidi, R.B. Cheikh, R.D. Tyagi, G. Mercier, Metals precipitation from effluents, Practice Periodical of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Management, 12(3) (2008) 135-149.
- [5] M. Giulietti, M. Seckler, S. Derenzo, M. Ré, E. Cekinski, Industrial crystallization and precipitation from solutions: state of the technique, Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 18(4) (2001) 423-440.
- [6] J.W. Patterson, H.E. Allen, J.J. Scala, Carbonate precipitation for heavy metals pollutants, Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation), (1977) 2397-2410.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

P. Khalati, M. Tahmasebpoor , S. J. Peighambardoust, Removal of Lead Heavy Metal from Wastewater by Crystallization Process and Investigation of the Effective Parameters , Amirkabir J. Mech. Eng., 53(11) (2022) 1353-1356.



DOI: 10.22060/mej.2021.19314.7000

This page intentionally left blank